

PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT
Rm 120 Municipal Services Building
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685
FAX: (215) 686-4716

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Hugh Ortman
Procurement Commissioner

March 16, 2010

BID NUMBER: S1YL6690
TITLE: Intermediate Processing Services
DEPARTMENT: STREETS DEPARTMENT
DATE TO OPEN: March 23, 2010 at 10:30 AM

ADDENDUM # 1

TO ALL BIDDERS:
You are hereby notified of the following changes to the above mentioned bid:

Subject Bid # S1YL6690 is hereby postponed until further notice.

The attendance sheets for the mandatory pre-bid meeting held on Tuesday, March 9, 2010 are attached.

Please sign, date and return this addendum with your bid to the Procurement Department, 1401 J.F.K Boulevard, Bid Room 170A, Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685 as it now becomes a part of the proposal.

Buyer, A. Campfield

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

FIRM NAME (PRINT)

DATE

AC/cs

TODAY'S DATE: Tuesday, March 9, 2010

Mandatory Pre-Bid Meeting
Intermediate Processing Services
Bid # S1YL6690

COMPANY NAME AND ADDRESS	COMPANY TELEPHONE NUMBERS	COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE
Newman & Co., Inc. 601 Tacony Street Philadelphia, PA 19135	<u>(215) 333-8700</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(215) 992-7909</u> FAX NUMBER	David Newman
Republic Services, Inc. BFI Waste Services of PA, LLC 372 S. Henderson Road King of Prussia, PA 19406	<u>(484) 645-9010</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(610) 205-5468</u> FAX NUMBER	Ken Anderson Tony Manhertz
Advanced Enviro Systems 727 E. 9 th Street Chester, PA 19013	<u>(610) 876-7226</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(610) 874-6728</u> FAX NUMBER	Judy Ward
Greenstar 6089 Dunes Drive Sanford, NC 27332	<u>(919) 498-2631</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(339) 883-3014</u> FAX NUMBER	Hal McGaughey
Blue Mountain Recycling FCR Recycling 2904 Ellsworth Street Philadelphia, PA 19146	<u>(215) 462-7372</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(215) 462-7374</u> FAX NUMBER	Shannon Detweiler Bob Anderson Steven Gray
Green Earth Recycling 1620 S. 49 th Street Philadelphia, PA 19143	<u>(215) 680-5316</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(215) 727-2004</u> FAX NUMBER	Angelo Franco
S. W. Demolition 1620 S. 49 th Street Philadelphia, PA 19143	<u>(215) 680-5316</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(215) 727-2004</u> FAX NUMBER	Albert Franco

COMPANY NAME AND ADDRESS	COMPANY TELEPHONE NUMBERS	COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE
Waste Management 6994 Columbia Gateway Drive Columbia, MD 21046	<u>(410) 796-7010</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(410) 796-2807</u> FAX NUMBER	Jim M. Michael Taylor
Waste Management 448 Lincoln Highway Fairless Hills, PA 19030	<u>(215) 269-2147</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(832) 668-3124</u> FAX NUMBER	Tara Hemmer Barry Payne
Burns & Co. 4300 Rising Sun Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19140	<u>(215) 324-6377</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(215) 324-7710</u> FAX NUMBER	Bob Beatz
C & A Carbone 1620 South 49 th Street Philadelphia, PA 19143	<u>(201) 788-3790</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(845) 358-6183</u> FAX NUMBER	Carmine Franco
Greenstar 20 Cathy Court Norwood, NJ 07648	<u>(551) 265-5236</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(201) 660-7983</u> FAX NUMBER	J. Deck
Hudson Baylor Corporation 237 Dupont Avenue Newburgh, NY 12551	<u>(845) 561-0160</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(845) 562-8412</u> FAX NUMBER	William Jennings
City of Philadelphia Streets Department	<u>(215) 686-5095</u> TELEPHONE NUMBER <u>(215) 686-7812</u> FAX NUMBER	Scott McGrath

**COMPANY
NAME AND
ADDRESS**

**COMPANY
TELEPHONE
NUMBERS**

**COMPANY
REPRESENTATIVE**

**City of Philadelphia
Streets Department**

**(215) 686-5592
TELEPHONE NUMBER**

Becky Oliver

**(215) 686-5455
FAX NUMBER**

**City of Philadelphia
Procurement Department**

**(215) 686-4773
TELEPHONE NUMBER**

Aycha Campfield

**(215) 686-4727
FAX NUMBER**

PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT
Rm 120 Municipal Services Building
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685
FAX: (215) 686-4716

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Hugh Ortman
Procurement Commissioner

May 13, 2010

BID NUMBER: S1YL6690
TITLE: Intermediate Processing Services
DEPARTMENT: STREETS DEPARTMENT
DATE TO OPEN: March 23, 2010 at 10:30 AM; PPFN

ADDENDUM # 2

TO ALL BIDDERS:

You are hereby notified of the following changes to the above mentioned bid:

Subject Bid #S1YL6690 will now open on Tuesday, June 1, 2010 at 10:30 A.M.

The following is hereby incorporated into Section 2.17, "Laws, Regulations/Permits/and Taxes:"

E. Labor Provisions

The City of Philadelphia's Labor Standards Unit has determined, in consultation with the Procurement Department, that any contract resulting from this Invitation and Bid is a "Service Contract" as that term is defined in Chapter 17-1300; accordingly the successful Bidder is required to comply with the minimum compensation standards by providing its employees with an hourly wage, excluding benefits, at least 150 percent of the federal minimum wage, as more fully set forth at Philadelphia Code Chapter 17-1300. The successful Bidder shall promptly provide to the City all documents and information verifying its compliance with the requirements of Section 17-1300. Furthermore, the successful Bidder shall notify each affected employee what wages are required to be paid.

The following is hereby incorporated into Section 3.5, “Insurance”:

The awarded contractor(s), at its sole cost and expense, must procure and maintain in full force and effect during the entire period of the contract (including all renewal periods) automobile liability insurance with a minimum limit of liability of \$2,000,000.

This requirement supersedes the automobile liability insurance coverage as listed in Paragraph 15 of the City’s Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract.

Delete the following from Section 5, Pricing: Note

Bidders must bid on 5.1.1.

Replace with the following:

Bidders are encouraged to bid on 5.1.1.

The attached questions and answers arising from the Mandatory Pre-Bid Meeting held on **Tuesday, March 7, 2006 @ 1:00 P.M.** at the Municipal Services Building, Room 170A, 1st Floor, 1401 J.F.K. Blvd. in Philadelphia, PA is made part of this Invitation and Bid.

1. Is there a specific reason the City is opting for a contract of one year with three one-year options rather than as recycling contracts have been written in the past – three years with three one-year options?

Answer: One year with three one-year renewals are standard contract terms for the City of Philadelphia.

2. According to Section 1.6.3 of the ITB, if the total value of the bid exceeds \$500,000 a bid bond in the amount of \$500 is to be submitted. However, Section 2 of the Terms and conditions states that the amount is \$6,000. Are we to follow the scale in Section 2 of the Terms and Conditions?

Answer: Yes. As stipulated by section 1.6.1, Bid Security will be based upon the estimated contract amount per section 1.7.3. The estimated contract amount is \$30,001.00. Therefore and in accordance with Paragraph 2 of the City’s Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract, if Bidder is not enrolled in the Master Bid Security Program, Bidder must submit with its bid a refundable Certified, Treasurer’s or Cashier’s Check, Bank Money Order or United States Postal Money Order in the amount of \$500.00.

3. According to Section 1.8.7 of the ITB, the bid processing fee is \$10.00. However, Section 3 of the Terms and Conditions indicates a different amount. Are we to follow the scale provided in Section 3 of the Terms and conditions; or are we to apply a different amount?

Answer: Yes. As stipulated by Paragraph 3 of the City’s Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract, the Bid Processing Fee for bids with an estimated contract amount of \$30,001.00 is \$10.00.

4. Commerce Department Office of Economic Opportunity Form & Voluntary Participation and Commitment Form: The ITB references both documents but does not indicate what form is required. Please clarify.

Answer: There are no participation ranges required for this Invitation and Bid. Bidders are requested to complete the Voluntary Participation and Commitment Form if they will work with a M-BE, W-BE or DS-BE to perform the contract that will result from this bid.

5. Would the City look favorably upon more materials? 3 through 7 plastics, household plastics, or bagged in bags film?

Answer: Please submit pricing for the commodity codes listed. Additional items may be considered in the future as an add-on to the contract.

6. Can you further describe the requirements of confidential destruction and approximately how often this occurs? How much material comes in on an annual basis? Is there a final size requirement?

Answer: Confidential destruction is done on an as needed basis by various departments. During Fiscal Years 2009 279 tons were delivered for confidential destruction / recycling.

7. Six collection districts are referenced. Two questions – (1) Can a bidder submit a bid on only specific collection districts and (2) Will the City provide the centroid of each collection district in order to calculate the transportation differential described on P. 25/26 of the ITB (Section 3.2.3)

Answer: Submit locations where material can be delivered, the Procurement and Streets Departments will determine which Sanitation Areas will be awarded to Contractor(s). A map with Centroids will be provided.

8. The termination/default language contained in Section 2.5 of the ITB conflicts with the language in Section 19 of the Terms and Conditions. Section 2.5 provides for a right to cure; whereas, Section 19 does not. Does the contractor have a right to cure, and if so, how much time does the contract have to cure?

Answer: Yes, contractor will be able to remedy those acts of default specified in section 2.5 (A) (3) to the satisfaction and approval of the Streets Commissioner within ten (10) days after receipt of written notice specifying the nature of such breach. In the event of an emergency, contractor will be given twenty-four (24) hours after receipt of written notice to remedy the breach.

Contractor will not be able to cure those acts of default listed in Section 2.5(A) (1) and (2).

9. Does operational mean capable of receiving?

Answer: Operational means ready to receive the recyclables for processing and marketing at that location or at another location designated by the Contractor that is ready to process and market materials delivered by the City on or before July 1, 2010.

10. Under what circumstances can a contractor reject a load? Hazardous waste? Radioactive waste?

Answer: A contractor may reject a load if it is substantially contaminated with no

recyclable materials.

11. Is the 20 minute limit an average daily limit or a truck by truck limit?

Answer: The 20 minute limit is the turn around time that begins at the start of the weighing process at the inbound scale to the completion of the weighing process at the outbound scale.

12. Payment Terms: The payment terms in these Sections conflict. One Section says 30 days following the end of the previous month. The other Section says 10 days after the end of the calendar month. Please clarify the payment terms.

Answer: When payment is due to the City it must be made within 30 days following the end of the previous month.

13. Markets are very different for materials based on region. Will the City consider contiguous regions only? Use of west coast regions with the short freight to Asia would not be a fair comparison.

Answer: No

14. Most glass is broken in Single Stream applications but the index does not include averaging the highest percentage glass in the mix. Will the City add mixed glass to the average to be used for pricing?

Answer: No

15. The ITB indicates revised Base Index Rates will be provided; however, these were not provided at the pre-bid. These are needed in order to accurately submit pricing for the bid. When will they be provided?

Answer: An updated index is attached.

16. Can the City provide an example of how the Average Market Price Formula and Base Rate Index is applied?

Answer: The Commingled Container index is added to the Newspaper, Mixed Paper and Cardboard index and the sum is multiplied by 75%. An example for how this value is applied is provided in Section 2.14.2.

17. How did the City arrive at the \$0.40 per mile transportation differential? This number appears low based on operating costs of collection vehicles (labor, fuel, maintenance, etc.). Will the City consider raising this number?

Answer: No, this number will not be revised.

18. Sections 3.3 of the ITB and Section 14 of the Terms and Conditions appear to say different things. Please confirm that Section 14 of the Terms and Conditions is to be used when calculating the amount of Performance Bond required.

Answer: The amount of the performance security fee will be based upon the total award amount, as specified in the official letter of award. The performance security fee must be submitted in accordance with Paragraph 14 of the City's Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract.

19. If a company is self-insured or a combination of self and 3rd party insured and produces the required Certificates of that insurance with the required language will that be sufficient?

Answer: If the bidder is 100% self insured, they must submit a letter requesting the City's acceptance of their self insurance program, including a justifiable rationale and their most recent audited financial statement for our consideration. If the bidder has an insurance program that is partially self-insured (via a "self insured retention" or "deductible") and can produce a certificate of insurance evidencing such coverage, this would be sufficient.

20. Indemnity: There are two different indemnity provisions referenced in the ITB. Which Section are bidders to follow?

Answer: The successful bidder(s) shall indemnify, keep and save harmless the City of Philadelphia as stipulated by Section 3.6 and Paragraph 16 of the City's Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract.

21. If a contractor wishes to propose multiple locations, should they submit a bid for each? Specifically, if the pricing structure for each is different, how should this be handled?

Answer: No, different pricing by location will not be considered. Identify each tipping location and pricing by commodity code.

22. Does the Local Bidder Preference apply? And if no why not?

Answer: In the best interest of the City, Paragraph 6 of the City's Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract has been deleted from this Invitation and Bid. For this Invitation and Bid only, the Local Bidding Preference does not apply.

23. The ITB contains a document titled "Terms and Conditions of Binding Contract". Is the City requiring this document to be signed and submitted with a bidder's response?

Answer: Yes, the City's Terms and Conditions of Bidding and Contract must be signed and submitted with the bidder's response. The bidder's response must not contain any counter terms or conditions.

24. The Bid Security appears to be \$500. The Terms and Conditions of the Bidding Contract, under item 2 Bid Security, lists a table for the estimated Bid amount. Is the Bid Security fixed for this Invitation at \$500.00?

Answer: Please see item # 2 above.

25. The Bid Processing Fee is \$10.00 based on the estimated contract of \$30,001.00. In the

Terms and Conditions of the Bidding Contract, under item 3, the Bid Processing Fee adjusts to an estimated contract total. Is the Processing Fee fixed for the invitation at \$10.00?

Answer: Please see item # 3 above.

26. FCR is installing optical sort equipment to harvest Aseptic Cartons from single stream. Will the City consider incorporating Aseptic Cartons to the Single Stream Materials definition and include the value in the Base Index Rate in 2.13?

Answer: A separate pricing index with aseptic packaging or other plastics will not be provided. Contractor may request that this material be added to its curbside program at current pricing rates or an add-on to the Contract will be prepared.

27. Given the substantial daily volume generated by the City, and the critical nature of City trucks being capable of tipping, it is important for the City to request information on back up capacity in the event the primary processing facility has failed. Will the City add to section .9, B.5 requesting back up processing capacity?

Answer: The selected Contractor is required to provide all processing and marketing service, failure to provide adequate processing capacity would be considered a failure to perform and appropriate actions would be taken by the City.

28. Section 3.2.2 references center-points as listed in 2.1.1. Section 2.1.1 does not list specific center-points. Prior Bid documents provided center points for each Area to determine the award transportation offset as determined in 2.16.2. Will the City provide these center-points?

Answer: Yes, Center points for each Sanitation District are provided in the attached map.

29. Section 2.13.2 states, "Following the completion of the City's waste composition study, the City reserves the right to adjust these percentages prior to the contract start date." These percentages set the Base Index Rate. If the base percentages are subject to change, it becomes impossible for a bidder to establish a value foundation for their bid. Will the City fix these percentages before the bid opening?

Answer: The composition of materials in the index will only be adjusted by mutual consent.

30. The Table for Fiber reflects an exceptionally high percentage of OCC at 55% and low percentage of ONP at 45%. The extraordinarily high percentage of OCC to ONP is not supported by the current residential stream. Will the City make the recent Composition study available to support these findings?

Answer: The index percentages listed for Newspapers and Cardboard were reversed. Refer to the revised indexing table attached.

31. The Table for Commingled Containers reflects an exceptionally high percentage of HDPE Natural and HDPE Colored. The current stream does not support such extraordinarily high percentages of HDPE. Will the City make the recent Composition study available to support these findings?

Answer: The index percentages are based on several sources of information including, USEPA data, PADEP data, confidential data provided by consultants, as well as preliminary waste and recycling sorting data which has not been finalized since additional data is being collecting in April 2010, therefore, this data is not available for public distribution at this time.

32. The Base Indexing Tables eliminate residue. Clearly residential curbside programs have residue. The City of Philadelphia recently commissioned a composition study of their single stream and has identified a residue percentage. Will the City provide the residue percentage to bidders? Will the City incorporate residue into the Base Indexing Tables based on findings from the composition study?

Answer: The indexing tables do not include residual materials. The City's residual rate varies on a daily basis from 5% to 12%. Additional sorting data is being collected in April 2010.

33. The Base Index Table is the foundation of each bidder's price. The Base Index increases \$44.98 per ton when comparing the composition of the Base Index from the current contract, to the Base Index in the Invitation. Errors in the Base Index Table can substantially overstate value. Cardboard has a very high pricing volatility compared with #6 News. The most dramatic shift in the Index is as a result of the increased percentage of OCC to ONP ratio. Another substantial area of concern is with HDPE which, with exception to Aluminum, is the highest value material in commingle containers. The high percentages in OCC and HDPE can substantially overstate value. The current stream does not support these percentages. Can the City provide their methodology and explain the extraordinary variances?

Answer: A correction has been made for the Newspaper and cardboard percentage. However, the percentage of cardboard in the paper stream is still substantially higher than the current index which was designed before the City began collecting cardboard as a part of its curbside program.

34. Since the Composition Study is public information, and was paid for by Pennsylvania State Grants, will the City release the results of the composition study to all bidders?

Answer: The Composition study will not be completed until July 2010, and it will be public information at that time.

35. Section 2.14.3 states that Corrugated Cardboard will adjust based on an index chart to be provided by the City to the successful bidder. Can the City provide the indexing chart in advance of the due date so all bidders fully understand how the city intends to adjust OCC pricing?

Answer: Pulp and Paper Week will be used for indexing cardboard, an example of the indexing process is provided in Section 2.14.2

36. Section 29 of the Terms and Conditions of the Bidding Contract incorporates the Philadelphia 21st Century Minimum Wage Standard. In past contracts the City of Philadelphia recognized this procurement as a “Commodities Contract” and not a “Service Contract.” By defining the contract in section 1.4 as “Purchase of Service Only” and in Section 1.7.3 listing the Estimated Expenditures at \$30,001.00 in excess of \$10,000 as defined in Chapter 17-1303 of the City Ordinance, has the City determined the successful Contractor will be required to comply with the Philadelphia 21st Century Minimum Wage Standard?

Answer: Yes, Philadelphia 21st Century Minimum Wage Standard applies to this contract.

37. Section 29: If the City confirms the Invitation requires compliance with Chapter 17-1300; §17-1304(8) allows for a waiver that is in the “best interest” of the City. Would the City consider an addendum that offers a waiver for all Contractors affording the City the highest and best rates available in the market?

Answer: The City will not grant a waiver to the Philadelphia 21st Century Minimum Wage Standard.

38. In an effort to understand the economic impact of 17-1300, and judge the “best interest of the City” with regard to a waiver, will the City release an Addendum to S1YL6690 requesting alternative pricing in the event a waiver was granted?

Answer: A waiver will not be forthcoming.

39. Section 29: With regard to Chapter 17-1300 compliance. If a Bidder offers a “receiving facility” for transfer, and those employee’s are subject to 17-1300, does the requirement continue with the tonnage to the processing facility employee’s?

Answer: Yes

40. Mixed Office Paper. The NOTE for 5.1.1 states Bidders must bid on 5.1.1. Section 5.1.3 represents a confidential destruction service which is not the same as material processing. Will the City accept bids on 5.1.3 from confidential destruction companies without the same company bidding 5.1.1?

Answer: Yes, the Bid will be modified to allow Bidders to bid on Confidential Paper Destruction only.

41. Mixed Office Paper. Will the City provide a basic delivery schedule of the anticipated 280 tons so bidders can understand the service requirements or agree in advance to a prescribed prior notification period, say 48 hours, to allow for facility preparations?

Answer: No delivery schedule will be offered by the City.

42. How will section 5.1.3 be evaluated in the overall award?

Answer: Bid price plus transportation costs per Sanitation Area at \$0.40 per mile.

43. Will the City provide a minimum of two weeks from the Addendum release to the Bid Due Date to assure respondents have enough time to obtain and submit proper bonding?

Answer: Yes

44. Referencing item 5.1.3, your requirement to bid on 5.1.1 in order to submit a bid for 5.1.3 limits our ability to separate these items, if we choose, thereby effectively preventing us from the opportunity to bid on the confidential destruction unless we are willing to bid on and potentially win and have to process all of the single stream recycling under this bid. We are requesting that you bifurcate these items in order that we may bid effectively.

Answer: Please see item 40 above.

The City of Philadelphia will not entertain any additional questions at this time.

Please sign, date and return this addendum with your bid to the Procurement Department, 1401 J.F.K Boulevard, Bid Room 170A, Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685 as it now becomes a part of the proposal.

Buyer, Aycha Campfield

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

FIRM NAME (PRINT)

DATE

AC/nd

ATTACHMENTS

**QUARTERLY PRICE
ADJUSTMENT FOR
RECYCLING (Single
Stream)**

Quarterly Adjustment for 7/01/10 - 9/30/10

CALCULATION OF INDEX FOR COMMINGLED			
ITEM	AVERAGE PRICE (national)	WEIGHT PERCENT T	INDEX FIGURE (national)
clear glass	\$17.50	25.00%	4.38
brown glass	\$8.00	25.00%	2.00
green glass	\$3.83	15.00%	0.58
aluminum	\$1,198.67	4.00%	47.95
steel cans & bi-metals	\$86.00	10.00%	8.60
PET plastics	\$433.33	10.00%	43.33
natural HDPE plastics	\$546.33	5.00%	27.32
colored HDPE plastics	\$450.00	6.00%	27.00
INDEX FIGURE			161.15
			64.46
CALCULATION OF INDEX FOR NEWSPAPER/MIXED PAPER			
ITEM	AVERAGE PRICE	WEIGHT %	INDEX FIGURE
newspaper	70.83	58.00%	41.08
corrugated cardboard	180.00	42.00%	75.60
INDEX FIGURE			116.68
			70.01
Initial Index Figure and Original Bid Price/ton:		134.47	\$0.00
Current Index Figure:		134.47	
Difference between current index and initial index:		0.00	
ADJUSTMENT:	(75% of difference)	0.00	(\$0.00)
NEW QUARTERLY PRICE/TON			(\$0.00)

**QUARTERLY PRICE
ADJUSTMENT FOR
RECYCLING (Single
Stream)**

Quarterly Adjustment for 7/01/10 - 9/30/10

CALCULATION OF INDEX FOR COMMINGLED			
ITEM	AVERAGE PRICE (national)	WEIGHT PERCENT	INDEX FIGURE (national)
clear glass	\$17.50	24.00%	4.20
brown glass	\$8.00	24.00%	1.92
green glass	\$3.83	14.00%	0.54
aluminum	\$1,198.67	3.40%	40.75
steel cans & bi-metals	\$86.00	9.60%	8.26
PET plastics	\$433.33	9.40%	40.73
natural HDPE plastics	\$546.33	4.00%	21.85
colored HDPE plastics	\$450.00	5.00%	22.50
#3-#7 plastics	\$111.33	6.60%	7.35
INDEX FIGURE			148.10
			59.24
CALCULATION OF INDEX FOR NEWSPAPER/MIXED PAPER			
ITEM	AVERAGE PRICE	WEIGHT %	INDEX FIGURE
newspaper	70.83	58.00%	41.08
corrugated cardboard	180.00	42.00%	75.60
INDEX FIGURE			116.68
			70.01
Initial Index Figure and Original Bid			
Price/ton:		129.25	\$0.00
Current Index Figure:		129.25	
Difference between current index and initial index:		0.00	
ADJUSTMENT:	(75% of difference)	0.00	\$0.00
NEW QUARTERLY PRICE/TON			\$0.00

Quarterly Adjustment for 4/01/10 -4/30/10

Commingled Material Reference – Information is drawn from Waste News - Commodity Pricing Report

The issue used is the last issue dated during the last month of the prior quarter.

The issue for this index adjustment is dated March 31, 2010

Newspaper/Mixed Paper Reference – Information is drawn from Pulp and Paper Week - Wastepaper Monthly Update -News (6)

The issue used is the issue referencing prices for the last month of the prior quarter.

The issue for this index adjustment is dated March 12, 2010

Single Stream Prices

ITEM	New York		Atlanta		Chicago		NAT'L AVG
	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	
GLASS-PROC.							
Clear (flint)	20	25	15	20	10	15	
AVERAGE:	22.5		17.5		12.5		17.50
Brown (amber)	8	10	5	10	5	10	
AVERAGE:	9		7.5		7.5		8.00
Green (emerald)	3	5	2	5	2	6	
AVERAGE:	4		3.5		4		3.83
	NEW York		Atlanta		Chicago		NAT'L
	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	AVG
ALUMINUM-PROC.							
AVERAGE:	1260	1300	1136	1180	1136	1180	
	1280		1158		1158		1198.67
STEEL/BI-METALS-PROC. per gross ton - end users	96	102	77	82	77	82	
AVERAGE:	99		79.5		79.5		86.00
PLASTICS-PROC. PET	416	448	420	448	420	448	
AVERAGE:	432		434		434		433.33
NATURAL HDPE PLASTICS	536	556	538	556	536	556	
AVERAGE:	546		547		546		546.33
COLORED HDPE PLASTICS	434	470	436	464	432	464	
AVERAGE:	452		450		448		450.00
#3-#7 PLASTICS	0	0	152	192	140	184	
AVERAGE:	0		172		162		111.33

NEWSPAPER - news (6)	NEW YORK		CHICAGO		ATLANTA		AVERAGE
	70	85	60	65	70	75	
AVERAGE:	77.5		62.5		72.5		70.83
Corrugated Cardboard	NEW YORK		CHICAGO		ATLANTA		AVERAGE
	175	190	170	185	170	190	
AVERAGE:	182.5		177.5		180		180.00

Closest Intersection to Geographic Center of Sanitation Areas

